



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,289	03/08/2001	Ashley Saulsbury	16747-010010US	6888

20350 7590 01/13/2005

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

TSAI, HENRY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2183

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/802,289	Applicant(s) SAULSBURY ET AL.	
	Examiner Henry W.H. Tsai	Art Unit 2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Henry W.H. Tsai. (3) _____
 (2) Thomas Franklin. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 January 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 11.


Identification of prior art discussed: Drabenstott et al, '999.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (a) Examiner indicated that whether Drabenstott et al, '999 teaches the limitation "each of said pluralit of registers files are both private and global registers" in the proposed amendments is questionable. More considerations are required. A detailed look at the prior art and more search are also required before a decision of allowance for the case. (b) Applicant will follow the response to the final rejection based on the proposed amendments

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 1/11/05
HENRY W. H. TSAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required